

**SCORING RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS****OUTCOME:****Reject Topics - Score 1 - 4**

None

**Possible Topics –Score 5 - 6**

<b>Ref. No.</b>	<b>SOURCE</b>	<b>TOPIC</b>	<b>RESULT</b>
(a)	Councillor Nicola Pryce-Roberts	Weeds in. West Lancashire	It was felt that this was already being considered and some work had been done on this matter. Therefore it is suggested that instead of a review the Corporate Overview & Scrutiny Committee request to receive a report at its December meeting on the questions raised by Cllr Pryce- Roberts.
(b)	A Member of the public	Review of resources available for community activities in terms of venues, organisation, personnel and finance.	The Chair stated work on this had been done previously by the Council. It was felt that instead of a review the Committee could ask for a report to a future meeting of the Committee on what the Council is doing?

**Priority Topics – Score 7 - 8**

Ref. No.	SOURCE	TOPIC	RESULT
(a)	CMT	Litter Bin Provision	<b>Accept –</b> It was felt that given litter bin provision was a current topic both for the Council and Residents that, this would be an appropriate time for Scrutiny to work with Officers to review and revise the Council's Litter bin provision policy.

**Suggested topic submitted after the deadline:**

<b>What?</b>	"Consultation" (The member of the public is referring to speaking on Planning Applications at Planning Committee)
<b>Why?</b>	<p>Please tell us why and provide a brief summary of your idea for scrutiny</p> <p>"You should give opportunity to constituents to address Sub Committee meetings on matters of importance should they have registered the desire to address the Committee, as at the moment the only people who are allowed to speak are the chosen few at the behest of your officers, no doubt acting on the direction of the democratically elected council.</p> <p>I recently attended a sub Committee meeting, which was a complete waste of my time as I could only sit there like a statue and observe the pantomime unfold before my eyes, where the chosen few were allowed to speak and then a vote was taken on the matter. The members of the committee voted purely on party lines in their various little groups without apparent consideration of the matter they were voting on. The problem of this system is that it gives apparent advantage to the ruling party on all matters even if the matter under discussion is being applied for by a prominent supporter of one or other political party."</p>
<b>What outcome would you like to see?</b>	"My suggestion is that all votes at working sub committees should not be subject to political division."