

CORPORATE AND ENVIRONMENTAL OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

MEMBERS UPDATE 2021/22 ISSUE: 4

Article of: Corporate Director of Transformation

Relevant Portfolio Holder: Councillor Dowling

Contact for further information: Mrs J Ryan (Extn. 5017) (E-mail: jill.ryan@westlancs.gov.uk)

SUBJECT: COMMUNITY CHEST GRANTS

Wards affected: Borough wide

1.0 PURPOSE OF ARTICLE

1.1 To inform Members of the mechanism for dealing with grant applications from the Community Chest and advise of the grants awarded in the second tranche of bids for the financial year 2021/22.

2.0 BACKGROUND

- 2.1 Applications for grants from the Community Chest are dealt with through the delegation procedures (see Constitution 4.3). The delegation in 2021/22 is to the Portfolio Holder for Communities and Community Safety and the Older Peoples Champion.
- 2.2 In reaching the decisions on Community Chest Applications the Portfolio Holder, in consultation with Councillors, the Chief Operating Officer and Corporate Directors, have considered the criteria set out on the application form to ensure the appropriate use of funding.

3.0 CURRENT POSITION

- 3.1 Applications were considered under delegated authority by the Portfolio Holder for Communities and Community Safety, Councillor Gareth Dowling.
- 3.2 The following grants were awarded from the General Fund.

Ormskirk Parish Church – St Peter & St Paul	£100
Banks St Stephens Bowling Club	£500

Divine Days Community	£500
Wrightington Pensioner Welfare Association	£500
Tarleton Cricket Club	£500
WEAREHOPEST	£500

4.0 SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

4.1 There are no significant sustainability implications associated with this update and in particular, no significant impact on crime and disorder. Applications received are from individuals and groups and the allocation of funding provide opportunities for culture, leisure and sport, including opportunities for education, training and life-long learning.

5.0 FINANCIAL AND RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS

- 5.1 The total remaining balance in the financial year 2021/22 is £24,790
- 5.2 The total spent in 2021/22 so far is £4,700.00

6.0 RISK ASSESSMENT

6.1 The actions referred to in this Article are covered by the Scheme of Delegation to Cabinet and Portfolio Holders and any necessary changes have been made in the relevant risk registers.

Background Documents

The following background documents (as defined in Section 100D (5) of the Local Government Act 1972) have been relied on to a material extent in preparing this Report.

Application forms from:

Ormskirk Parish Church – St Peter & St Paul	11/11/21
Banks St Stephens Bowling Club	14/10/21
Divine Days Community	16/07/21
Wrightington Pensioner Welfare Association	16/11/21
Tarleton Cricket Club	26/11/21
WEAREHOPEST	13/12/21

Equality Impact Assessment


There is a direct impact on members of the public, and stakeholders, therefore an Equality Impact Assessment is required. A formal Equality Impact Assessment is

attached as an Appendix to this report, the results of which have been taken into account when undertaking the actions detailed within this Article.

<u>Appendices</u>

1. Equality Impact Assessment.

Appendix 1



than others)?	
Which of the protected characteristics are most	
relevant to the work being carried out?	
Age	Yes
Gender	Yes
Disability	Yes
Race and Culture	Yes
Sexual Orientation	Yes
Religion or Belief	Yes
Gender Reassignment	Yes
Marriage and Civil Partnership	Yes
Pregnancy and Maternity	Yes
4. DATA ANALYSIS	
In relation to the work being carried out, and the	Residents who are recipients of a service
service/function in question, who is actually or	provided by a voluntary/community
currently using the service and why?	organisation
What will the impact of the work being carried	A grant will assist the voluntary/community
out be on usage/the stakeholders?	organisation in its activities
What are people's views about the services?	Not known
Are some customers more satisfied than others,	
and if so what are the reasons? Can these be	
affected by the proposals?	Coucht data on the application forms
What sources of data including consultation	Sought data on the application forms
results have you used to analyse the impact of	submitted by the voluntary/community
the work being carried out on users/stakeholders with protected	organisations
characteristics?	
If any further data/consultation is needed and is	None
to be gathered, please specify:	None
5. IMPACT OF DECISIONS	1
	A great to a valuator /acromovate grave will
In what way will the changes impact on people with particular protocted characteristics (either	A grant to a voluntary/community group will
with particular protected characteristics (either	assist it in undertaking its activities within
positively or negatively or in terms of disproportionate impact)?	the Borough
6. CONSIDERING THE IMPACT	<u> </u>
If there is a negative impact what action can be taken to mitigate it? (If it is not possible or	No
taken to mitigate it? (If it is not possible or desirable to take actions to reduce the impact,	
•	
explain why this is the case (e.g. legislative or financial drivers etc.).	
What actions do you plan to take to address	No actions
what actions do you plan to take to address	

any other issues above?	
7. MONITORING AND REVIEWING	
When will this assessment be reviewed and who will review it?	