

Appendix 1	
<b>Equality Impact Assessment Form</b>	
	
<b>Directorate: Place &amp; Community</b>	<b>Service: Environmental Services</b>
<b>Completed by: Kathryn Sephton</b>	<b>Date: 27th January 2023</b>
<b>Subject Title: PSPO Renewal</b>	
<b>1. DESCRIPTION</b>	
Is a policy or strategy being produced or revised:	Yes <i>*delete as appropriate</i>
Is a service being designed, redesigned or cutback:	No
Is a commissioning plan or contract specification being developed:	No
Is a budget being set or funding allocated:	No
Is a programme or project being planned:	No
Are recommendations being presented to senior managers and/or Councillors:	Yes
Does the activity contribute to meeting our duties under the Equality Act 2010 and Public Sector Equality Duty ( <b>Eliminating unlawful discrimination/harassment, advancing equality of opportunity, fostering good relations</b> ):	Yes
Details of the matter under consideration:	Public Space Protection Order Renewal including 2 additional sites
<i>If you answered <b>Yes</b> to any of the above go straight to Section 3</i> <i>If you answered <b>No</b> to all the above please complete Section 2</i>	
<b>2. RELEVANCE</b>	
Does the work being carried out impact on service users, staff or Councillors (stakeholders):	Yes <i>*delete as appropriate</i>
If <b>Yes</b> , provide details of how this impacts on service users, staff or Councillors (stakeholders): <i>If you answered <b>Yes</b> go to Section 3</i>	The service delivered will have a direct impact of the quality of the environment.
If you answered <b>No</b> to both Sections 1 and 2 provide details of why there is no impact on these three groups: <i>You do not need to complete the rest of this form.</i>	
<b>3. EVIDENCE COLLECTION</b>	
Who does the work being carried out impact on, i.e. who is/are the stakeholder(s)?	Members of the public.
If the work being carried out relates to a universal service, who needs or uses it most? (Is there any particular group affected more than others)?	Universal service, no particular group affected more.

<p>Which of the protected characteristics are most relevant to the work being carried out?</p> <p>Age  Gender Disability  Race and Culture  Sexual Orientation  Religion or Belief  Gender  Reassignment  Marriage and Civil Partnership  Pregnancy and Maternity</p>	<p style="text-align: right;"><i>*delete as appropriate</i></p> <p>No  No  No  No  No  No  No  No  No</p>
<p><b>4. DATA ANALYSIS</b></p>	
<p>In relation to the work being carried out, and the service/function in question, who is actually or currently using the service and why?</p>	<p>Members of the public</p>
<p>What will the impact of the work being carried out be on usage/the stakeholders?</p>	<p>Reduced exposure to dog faeces whilst undertaking leisure and /or sporting activities.</p>
<p>What are people's views about the services? Are some customers more satisfied than others, and if so what are the reasons? Can these be affected by the proposals?</p>	<p>A public consultation was conducted from 15<sup>th</sup> December 2022 to 26<sup>th</sup> January 2023. This received 98 submissions  Out of the 98 submissions the following results were obtained  92 people agreed that the current PSPO banning dogs from children's play area should be extended.  90 people agreed that the current PSPO for keeping dogs on a lead in certain areas should be extended.  87 people agreed that the current PSPO for dogs to be on a lead near carriageways with a speed limit of 40mph or greater should be extended.  92 people agreed that's dogs should be banned from the enclosed children's play area at the Queen Elizabeth II Platinum Jubilee children's park, Tawd Valley, Skelmersdale.  84 People agreed that dogs should be kept on leads in the area around the Queen Elizabeth II Platinum Jubilee children's park, Tawd Valley, Skelmersdale.  91 people agreed that's dogs should be banned from the enclosed children's play area at Thompson Avenue, Ormskirk.  97 people agree that it should be an offence for a person to not clean up after a dog has fouled.</p>

What sources of data including consultation results have you used to analyse the impact of the work being carried out on users/stakeholders with protected characteristics?	It is a universal service that will not unduly disadvantage stakeholders with protected characteristics.
If any further data/consultation is needed and is to be gathered, please specify:	Consultation has taken place.
<b>5. IMPACT OF DECISIONS</b>	
In what way will the changes impact on people with particular protected characteristics (either positively or negatively or in terms of disproportionate impact)?	There is no anticipated disproportionate impact on people with protected characteristics.
<b>6. CONSIDERING THE IMPACT</b>	
If there is a negative impact what action can be taken to mitigate it? (If it is not possible or desirable to take actions to reduce the impact, explain why this is the case (e.g. legislative or financial drivers etc.).	There is no perceived negative impact on those with protected characteristics.
What actions do you plan to take to address any other issues above?	No actions  If no actions are planned state no actions

## 7. MONITORING AND REVIEWING

When will this assessment be reviewed and who will review it?

Prior to the 3-year expiry date of the PSPO Order.  
Clean and Green Area Manager/s